1	DOUGLAS CARSTEN, SBN 198467 dcarsten@foley.com DEBRA D. NYE, SBN 226076				
2					
3	dnye@foley.com Foley & Lardner LLP				
4	11250 El Camino Real, Suit 200				
5	San Diego, California 92130 Telephone: (858) 847-6700				
6	Attorneys for Defendant SANYO NORTH AMERICA CORPORATION				
7					
8					
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
10	FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
11	JENS ERIK SORENSEN, as Trustee of	) Case No. 08-cv-0135 BTM (CAB)			
12	SORENSEN RESEARCH AND				
13	DEVELOPMENT TRUST,	DEFENDANT SANYO NORTH AMERICA CORPORATION'S			
14	Plaintiff v.	ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES.			
15	CANNO NODELLA MEDICA	) DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL			
16	SANYO NORTH AMERICA CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporatio	on; )			
17	and DOES 1 – 100,				
18	Defendants.	)			
		)			
19		)			
20		)			
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
<b>40</b>					

1	Defendant SANYO NORTH AMERICA CORPORATION ("Sanyo") by its	
2	undersigned counsel hereby answers the Complaint for Patent Infringement of Plaintiff Jens	
3	Erik Sorensen, as Trustee of Sorensen Research and Development Trust ("Sorensen") as	
4	follows:	
5		
6	THE PARTIES	

## THE PARTIES

- 1. Sanyo is without sufficient information or belief to admit or deny the allegations of paragraph 1 and, on that basis, denies them.
- 2. Sanyo admits that it is a corporation organized under the laws of Delaware, having a principal office located at 2055 Sanyo Avenue, San Diego, CA 92154.
- 3. Sanyo is without sufficient information or belief to admit or deny the allegations of paragraph 3 and, on that basis, denies them.
- 4. Sanyo is without sufficient information or belief to admit or deny the allegations of this paragraph and, on that basis, denies them.

# JURISDICTION and VENUE

5. Admitted.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- Sanyo admits that venue in this district is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 6. 1400(b). Sanyo denies the remaining allegations of this paragraph.
- 7. Sanyo admits that the Court has personal jurisdiction over it. Sanyo denies the remaining allegations of this paragraph.

### **CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

#### (Patent Infringement)

- 8. Sanyo realleges and incorporates herein by reference its responses in paragraphs 1 through 7, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.
- 9. Sanyo admits that the cover page of the U.S. Patent No. 4,935,184 is entitled "Stabilized Injection Mold Part With Separate Complimentary Mold Parts," and that the issue date of patent is June 19, 1990. Except as specifically admitted, Sanyo denies the remaining allegations of this paragraph.

- 10. Admitted in part as to Sanyo. Sanyo admits that it has in the past or presently imports, sells or offers for sale within the United States and this District the following: SANYO MULTI-MEDIA PHONE MM-7400 and SANYO PHONE PM-7300. As to Sanyo, Sanyo denies the remaining allegations of this paragraph. As to other Defendants, Sanyo is without sufficient information or belief to admit or deny the allegations of this paragraph and, on that basis, denies them.
- 11. Admitted that Sanyo does not have a license, but the implication that Sanyo needs a license or any other authorization is denied. Sanyo is without sufficient information or belief to admit or deny the allegations of the remainder of this paragraph and on that basis denies them.
- 12. Sanyo is without sufficient information or belief to admit or deny the allegations of this paragraph and, on that basis, denies them.
  - 13. [RESERVED]
  - 14. Denied.
- 15. Sanyo admits that it has received numerous threatening letters from the Plaintiff regarding the '184 patent as early as July 6, 2005, and denies the remaining allegations of this paragraph.
- 16. Sanyo is without sufficient information or belief to admit or deny the allegations of this paragraph and, on that basis, denies them.
- 17. Denied as to Sanyo. Sanyo is without sufficient information or belief to admit or deny the allegations of remainder of this paragraph and on that basis, denies them.
- 18. Admitted that Sanyo does not have a license, but the implication that Sanyo needs a license or any other authorization is denied. Sanyo is without sufficient information or belief to admit or deny the allegations of the remainder of this paragraph and on that basis denies them.
- 19. Sanyo admits that it received a communication from Sorensen's counsel on July 6, 2005 containing a drawing and claim chart alleging infringement. Sanyo denies the remaining allegations of this paragraph.

1	20.	Denied.
2	21.	Admitted as to Sanyo. Sanyo is without sufficient information or belief to
3	admit or den	y the allegations of the remainder of this paragraph and, on that basis,
4	denies them.	
5	22.	Denied.
6	23.	Sanyo has been given an opportunity to refute Plaintiff's charge of
7	infringement and has done so. Sanyo denies the remaining allegations of this paragraph.	
8	24.	Denied.
9	25.	Denied.
10	26.	Denied.
11	27.	Denied.
12	28.	Denied.
13	29.	Denied.
14		PRAYER FOR RELIEF
15	30.	Sanyo denies that Sorensen is entitled to any relief, and specifically denies all
16	the allegations and prayers for relief contained in paragraphs (a)-(i) in Sorensen's Prayer	
17	for Relief.	
18		<b>DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL</b>
19	31.	This paragraph states only a legal assertion, and thus, no response is required
20		
21		SANYO'S DEFENSES
22	32.	Sanyo, without waiver, limitation, or prejudice, hereby asserts the following
23	defenses:	
24		FIRST DEFENSE
25		(Failure to State a Claim)
26	33.	The Complaint and each and every one of its allegations fail to state a claim
27	upon which relief may be granted.	
28		

#### SECOND DEFENSE 1 2 (Noninfringement) 34. 3 Sanyo does not and has not infringed any valid claim of the '184 patent 4 literally, directly, contributorily, by way of inducement, and/or under the doctrine of 5 equivalents. 6 THIRD DEFENSE 7 (Invalidity) 35. On information and belief, the claims of the '184 patent are invalid for failure 8 9 to comply with one or more of the conditions of patentability set forth in Part II of Title 35 10 of the United States Code including, for example, Sections 102, 103, 112, 116, and/or 132. FOURTH DEFENSE 11 12 (Prosecution History Estoppel) 13 36. The claims of the '184 patent are and were limited by amendment, by the 14 prior art and/or by the statements made during its prosecution before the USPTO, such that 15 Sorensen is now estopped and otherwise precluded from maintaining that such claims of 16 the '184 patent are of sufficient scope to cover the accused products and methods, either 17 literally or under the doctrine of equivalents. 18 FIFTH DEFENSE 19 (Equitable Estoppel and/or Laches) 20 37. Sorensen's claims against Sanyo with respect to the '184 patent are barred by 21 equitable estoppel and/or laches. 22 SIXTH DEFENSE 23 (Damages Limitations) 24 38. Sanyo alleges on information and belief that any claim for damages for patent 25 infringement by Sorensen is limited, including, but not limited to, by 35 U.S.C. § 286. 26 RESERVATION OF DEFENSES 27 39. To the extent not already pled, Sanyo reserves its right to add additional 28 defenses pending further investigation and discovery.

1 **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** 2 Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Sanyo hereby respectfully 3 demands a jury trial on all triable issues. 4 Respectfully submitted, 5 Date: April 9, 2008 6 7 /s/ Douglas Carsten 8 Douglas H. Carsten, SBN 198467 9 dcarsten@foley.com 10 FOLEY & LARDNER LLP 11250 El Camino Real, Suite 200 11 San Diego, California 92130 Phone: 858-847-6700 12 13 Attorneys for Defendant SANYO NORTH AMERICA CORPORATION 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28